An Overview of GIS-based Corridor Analysis

by Joseph K. Berry W. M. Keck Scholar, University of Denver – August, 2003





GIS-based Corridor Analysis Overview

Study Area Statewide database of major factors in siting a transmission line

Macro Corridor Generation Based on statewide data to identify an encompassing area for collecting and assembling high resolution data (e.g., aerial photography, building/house location, existing utilities, census data, etc.) required for a specific proposed transmission line

Route Corridor Selection *Relative preference for different routing criteria are used to identify alternative routes for transmission line routing*—

Base Maps (high resolution data within macro corridor) Derived Maps (derived decision criteria as needed) Interpreted Maps (apply criteria ratings reflecting relative preferences) Discrete Preference Map (weighted average of criteria preferences) Accumulated Preference Surface (from start to everywhere) Most Preferred Route (from start to end point of new line) Alternate Route Generation (weighted average of preferences) Route Comparison and Summary (map display, geo-query and statistics)

Route Design Engineering considerations are applied to define the centerline within the best route corridor





Most Preferred Route

Background

GIS-based approaches for siting electric transmission lines utilize relative rankings and weights in considering factors affecting potential routes...

The weights for numerous factors, such as slope, proximity to existing roads, visual exposure and population density, are established for each grid cell location then analyzed for the overall "most preferred path" in a project area. In practice, the criteria rankings and sub-model weights are altered to identify a set of alternatives to evaluated for the best route.

A quantitative process for establishing objective and consistent rankings and weights is critical in developing a robust transmission line routing methodology...

This workshop is designed to establish baseline criteria ratings and submodel weights based on different group perspectives of the relative importance of the various routing considerations. 2

Transmission Line Siting Model (Hypothetical Example)



Siting Model Flowchart (Model Logic)

Model logic is captured in a flowchart where the boxes represent maps and lines identify processing steps leading to a spatial solution



Calibrating Map Layers (Relative Preferences)

Model calibration refers to establishing a consistent scale from 1 (most preferred) to 9 (least preferred) for rating each map layer



1 for 0 to 5 houses ...group consensus is that low housing density is most preferred

The Delphi Process is used to achieve consensus among group participants. It is a structured method involving iterative use of anonymous questionnaires and controlled feedback with statistical aggregation of group response.⁷

Most Preferred Route (Model Implementation)

Model logic is captured in a flowchart where the boxes represent maps and lines identify processing steps leading to a spatial solution



Weighting Map Layers (Relative Importance)

Model weighting establishes the relative importance among map layers (model criteria) on a multiplicative scale

...group consensus is that housing density is very important (10.38 times more important than sensitive areas) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 Weighting Map Layers 💻 HD * 10.38 Strengty Very Strongly . Step 1 Answer pairwise comparison questions: Step 2 Complete importance table: (VE vs. SA)- avoiding locations of high Visual exposure is extremely more R * 3.23 important (rating= 9) than avoiding locations close to Sensitive Areas VE SA R (VE vs. R)- avoiding locations of high Visual exposure is strongly more important (rating= 5) than avoiding locations far from to Roads. (VE vs. HD)- avoiding locations of high Visual exposure is equally HD important (rating= 1) than avoiding locations of high Housing Density (SA vs. R)- avoiding locations far from Roads is strongly to very strongly SA * 1.00 more important (rating= 6) than avoiding locations close to Sensitive Areas. Step 3 Calculate weights: (SA vs. HD)- avoiding locations of high Housing Density is very strongly to Jeights 10.64 1.00 extremely more important (rating= 8) than avoiding locations close to Sensitive Areas. VE * 10.64 (R vs. HD)- avoiding locations of high Housing Density is strongly more 10.38 important (rating= 5) than avoiding locations close to Sensitive Areas.

(SA VS. <u>HD</u>)— avoiding locations of high *Housing Density* is **very strongly to extremely** more important (rating= 8) than avoiding locations close to *Sensitive Areas*.

The Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) is used to establish relative importance among siting criteria based on group values. The procedure involves mathematically summarizing paired comparisons of the map layers' importance.

Step 1 Discrete Preference Map



Step 2 Accumulated Preference Map



... identifies the preference to construct the preferred transmission line from a starting location to everywhere in a project area



Splash Algorithm – like tossing a stick into a pond with waves emanating out and accumulating costs as the wave front moves¹⁰





Generating Alternate Routes



Simple Average, Environmental Factors; Built/Community Environment; Engineering factors

Assessing Alternative Routes (Best Route Corridor)



Group Meeting Agenda

Welcome / Overview

GIS-based Corridor Analysis Overview *Study Area, Macro Corridor Generation, Alternative Route Generation, Route Selection, Design (Centerline)*

Geographic Information Overview Database Considerations, Base Maps, Derived Maps

Methodology Approaches for determining routing criteria Calibration and Weights

Breakout Sessions Group interaction and discussion using Delphi and Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) procedures to set—

Criteria Rating Calibration (1= attract to 9= repel) Sub-Model Weight (Relative Importance weights sum to 1.0)

Wrap-up Summary and discussion of results, Critique and comments on Routing Model approach

14